EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE (EBP) GUIDELINE Normal Saline Instillation during Suctioning

CLINICAL PRACTICE

Normal saline (NS) has been widely utilized during endotracheal and tracheal suctioning. Clinicians have used NS believing it breaks up pulmonary secretions and helps with their removal during suctioning, especially in the presence of thick secretions.

REVIEW OF EVIDENCE

In the last 10-15 years, numerous studies have been conducted on the physiological effects of NS instillation. Specifically, these studies have measured the impact of NS instillation on oxygenation, sputum recovery, infection rates, hemodynamics and perceived dyspnea, as shown below:

Variable	Results	
Oxygenation ^{1-6,9,10,12}	1. Decreased oxygenation levels	
(ABG's, O2 sats, & mixed	- Desaturation may persist up to 10-15" post-suctioning	
venous saturations)		
Sputum recovery ^{1,5-6,12}	1. No significant increase in sputum retrieved with suctioning	
(in volume & weight)	2. In studies where NS was radioactively labeled, it was found to	
	sit near the bottom of the ET tube (rather than mixing with	
	secretions) and then was rapidly absorbed providing evidence	
	that NS and secretions do not mix ⁸	
Perceived dyspnea ¹¹	1. Increased level of perceived dyspnea in older patients (> 60) -	
	May persist up to 10 min after suctioning	
Hemodynamics ^{3-4,6}	1. NS use may increase HR (no effect on BP or RR)	
(HR, BP, RR)	2. Increase in coughing with NS use may have other detrimental	
	effects, such as increased MAP & ICP	
Infection rates ⁷	1. NS dislodges bacterial colonies (up to 5 X as many bacterial	
(Bacterial colonies)	colonies may be washed out!)	
	- NS may contribute to lower airway contamination	

These studies provide <u>Class I</u> evidence of the adverse physiological effects of NS and therefore, support against the routine use of NS with endotracheal/tracheal suctioning.

EBP RECOMMENDATION

- A. NS instillation has several potential adverse effects and should NOT be <u>routinely used</u>. Indications for use of NS with suctioning:
 - 1. To elicit a cough (only if unable to elicit any other way)
 - This applies ONLY to patients with intact cough reflex
 - 2. To pass the suction catheter in a suspected obstruction of an ET/trach tube with thick encrusted secretions
 - 3. To clear suction catheter after each pass & when finished suctioning
 - If catheter not cleared, pathogens may be introduced back into airway increasing the risk of infection
- B. NS and mucus are immiscible and do not mix. Therefore, NS does <u>NOT</u> thin or mobilize secretions and thereby, increase the yield of secretions. Rather, the best known ways to manage thick tenacious secretions and prevent mucus plugs include:
 - 1. Humidification

EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE (EBP) GUIDELINE Normal Saline Instillation during Suctioning

- Adequate systemic hydration
- Passive or active humidification for ventilated patients
- 2. Mucolytic agents
- C. Good handwashing is essential to reduce infection when opening NS vials Studies have found increased contamination with various pathogens when clinicians used the nongloved thumb to twist off the top of NS vial¹³

REFERENCES

- 1. Ackerman M, Gugerty B. The effect of normal saline bolus instillation in artificial airways. *SOHN*, *The Journal*. 1990;8:14-17.
- 2. Ackerman M. The effect of saline lavage prior to suctioning. *American Journal of Critical Care*. 1993;2:326-330.
- 3. Ackerman M, Mick DJ. Instillation of normal saline before suctioning in patients with pulmonary infection: A prospective randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Critical Care*. 1998;7:261-266.
- 4. Akgul S, & Akyolcu N. Effects of normal saline on endotracheal suctioning. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 2002; 11: 826-830.
- 5. Bostick J, Wendelgass ST. Normal saline instillation as part of the suctioning procedure: Effects on PaO₂ and amount of secretions. *Heart and Lung.* 1987;16(5):532-537.
- 6. Gray JE, MacIntyre NR, Kronenberger WG. The effects of bolus normal-saline instillation in conjunction with endotracheal suctioning. *Respiratory Care*. 1990;35(8):785-790.
- 7. Hagler DA, Traver GA. Endotracheal saline and suction catheters: Sources of lower airway contamination. *American Journal of Critical Care*. 1994;3:444-447.
- 8. Hanley MC, Rudd T, Butler J. What happens to intratracheal saline instillations? *Am Rev Respir Dis.* 1978;117:124-128.
- 9. Ji Y, Kim H, & Jeong-Hwan, P. Instillation of normal saline before suctioning in patients with pneumonia. *Yonsei Medical Journal*. 2002; 43 (5): 607-612.
- 10. Kinloch D. Instillation of normal saline during endotracheal suctioning: Effects on mixed venous oxygen saturation. *American Journal of Critical Care*. 1999;8:231-242.
- 11. O'Neal, P, Grap, M, Thompson, C, et al. Level of dyspnoea experienced in mechanically ventilated adults with and without saline instillation prior to endotracheal suctioning. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*. 2001; 17: 356-363.
- 12. Reynolds P, Hoffman LA, Schlicting R et al. Effects of normal saline instillation on secretion volume, dynamic compliance and oxygen saturation. *American Review of Respiratory Disease*. 1990;141:A574.
- 13. Rutala WA, Stiegel MM, Sarubbi FA. A potential infection hazard associated with the use of disposable saline vials. *Infection Control.* 1984;5:170-172.

EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE (EBP) GUIDELINE Normal Saline Instillation during Suctioning

LEVELS OF EVIDENCE

Class of EBP	Criteria	Clinical Definition
Recommendation		
Class I	Supported by excellent evidence,	Class I interventions are always
Definitely recommended	with at least 1 prospective	acceptable, safe & effective.
	randomized, controlled trial.	Considered definitive standard of care
Class IIa	Supported by good to very good	Class IIa interventions are acceptable,
Acceptable & useful	evidence. Weight of evidence and	safe & useful. Considered intervention
	expert opinion strongly in favor.	of choice by majority of experts.
Class IIb	Supported by fair to good	Class IIb interventions are also
Acceptable & useful	evidence. Weight of evidence and	acceptable, safe and useful. Considered
	expert opinion not strongly in	optional or alternative interventions by
	favor.	majority of experts.
Indeterminate	Preliminary research stage.	Indeterminate: Describes treatments
Promising, evidence	Evidence: No harm but no	of promise but limited evidence.
lacking, immature	benefit. Evidence insufficient to	
	support a final class decision.	
Class III	Not acceptable, not useful, may be	Class III refers to interventions with no
May be harmful; no	harmful.	evidence of any benefit; often some
benefit documented		evidence of harm