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System-wide Consensus Guidelines:
**Whole Breast Hypofractionation**
These guidelines apply to clinical interventions that have well-documented outcomes, but whose outcomes may not be clearly desirable for all patients.
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**SCOPE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites, Facilities, Business Units</th>
<th>Departments, Divisions, Operational Areas</th>
<th>People applicable to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allina – all facilities that care for breast cancer patients; Abbott Northwestern Hospital, Buffalo Hospital, Cambridge Medical Center, District One Hospital, Mercy Hospital, Mercy Hospital – Unity Campus, River Falls Area Hospital, Regina Hospital, St Francis Medical Center, United Hospital</td>
<td>Breast Surgery Medical Oncology Radiation Oncology</td>
<td>MDs Advance Practice Providers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guidelines are not meant to replace clinical judgment or professional standards of care. Clinical judgment must take into consideration all the facts in each individual and particular case, including individual patient circumstances and patient preferences. They serve to inform clinical judgment, not act as a substitute for it. These guidelines were developed by a Review Organization. These guidelines may be disclosed only for the purposes of the Review Organization according to Minn. Statutes §145.64 and are subject to the limitations described at Minn. Statutes §145.65.
PICO (TS) Framework:
Patient Population: Women aged ≥ 50 with early stage invasive breast cancer (T1, T2, N0) undergoing breast-conserving therapy and have not had chemotherapy.  
Intervention: Discussion with Radiation Oncologist regarding pros and cons of radiation options  
Comparison: NA 
Outcomes: Patients, when appropriate, given the opportunity to choose 
Timing: Prior to starting radiation 
Setting: Outpatient radiation oncology

CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES:
Women aged ≥ 50 with early stage invasive breast cancer undergoing breast-conserving therapy should have a discussion with their radiation oncologist regarding the pros and cons of a hypofractionated course of radiation vs. a conventionally fractionated regimen.

SUPPORTING EVIDENCE:
Based on randomized trials, standard treatment after breast conservation surgery has included adjuvant whole breast radiation with a conventionally fractionated regimen which typically includes daily fraction sizes of 1.8-2.0 Gy in 25 to 28 fractions +/- a lumpectomy bed boost consisting of an additional 5-8 fractions. (1, 2)

Conventionally fractionated radiation has traditionally been given with the thought that small daily fractions will lower the risk of late normal tissue toxicity. Despite the large body of data showing its proven effectiveness and safety, there are drawbacks to conventionally fractionated breast radiation including long treatment course of 5-6.5 weeks as well as cost of treatment. (5)

Recent studies have demonstrated equivalent tumor control and cosmetic outcome in specific patient populations with shorter courses of radiation. To date there have been at least 6 randomized clinical trials comparing hypofractionated whole breast radiation to conventionally fractionated whole breast radiation including the following: Hospital Necker, Queen Elizabeth, Canadian, Royal Marsden Hospital/Gloucester Oncology Center and START A and B. (1, 4)

Based on the available evidence, an ASTRO task force came up with guidelines in 2011 stating that evidence supports the equivalence of hypofractionated whole breast irradiation with conventionally fractionated whole breast radiation for patients who satisfy all of the following criteria.

1. Patient is 50 years or older at diagnosis 
2. Pathologic stage is T1-2 N0 and patient has been treated with breast-conserving surgery 
3. Patient has not been treated with systemic chemotherapy 
4. Within the breast along the central axis, the minimum dose is no less than 93% and maximum dose is no greater than 107% of the prescription dose (+/-7%); (as calculated with 2-dimensional treatment planning without heterogeneity corrections) (3)

The task force agreed that the heart should be excluded from the radiation fields and that caution should be exercised for certain subsets of patients not well-represented in these groups including those with the following features:
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• high grade tumors
• left-sided tumors
• DCIS
• those who may benefit from a tumor bed boost

The ASTRO (American Society for Radiation Oncology) Choosing Wisely campaign released a statement regarding hypofractionated radiotherapy in 2013 stating “Don’t initiate whole breast radiotherapy as part of breast conservation therapy in women ≥ 50 with early stage invasive breast cancer without considering shorter treatment schedules.” (3)

The ASTRO task force concluded that the data support the non-inferiority of hypofractionated whole breast radiation as compared to conventionally fractionated whole breast radiation in a subset of patients with early stage breast cancer meeting all of the criteria listed above. They also acknowledged that patterns of practice may differ substantially between radiation oncologists, and that for patients who do not meet the aforementioned criteria, conventionally fractionated radiation is appropriate based on the long-term data. (3)

**DEFINITIONS:**

*Standard radiation treatment* is when the total dose of radiation is divided into small doses and treatments are given once a day or less often.

*Hypofractionated radiation* therapy is when the total dose of radiation is divided into higher doses and given over a shorter period of time (fewer days or weeks) than standard radiation therapy. The total radiation dose is the same with either regimen.

**SPECIAL ENTITIES:** N/A

**FORMS:** N/A

**ALGORITHM:** N/A

**ADDENDUM:** N/A

**Plan for Monitoring and Adherence:**

Who will be measured for guideline adherence?

• Physicians

Where is the data located?

• EDW/ERS

How will the guideline adherence be monitored?

• It will be monitored through the Breast Program Committee

What will be measured?

• % lumpectomy patients receiving hypofractionated radiation therapy per site

When will adherence data be collected?

• Measure will continue to be monitored annually at minimum
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